

Proposal Title :	Corowa Local Environmental Pla Clauses Map Amendment - How		Clause 7.11 amendment and Local acility
Proposal Summary :	Amend clause 7.11 and the Local Clauses Map of the Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2012 to map a buffer around an existing Livestock Processing Facility at Howlong and adapt Clause 7.11 to any site where an industrial buffer may be appropriate.		
PP Number :	PP_2014_COROW_002_00	Dop File No :	14/19935
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	27-Nov-2014	LGA covered :	Corowa
Region :	Western	RPA :	Corowa Shire Council
State Electorate :	ALBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lot	s 93 & 94 DP 753744, 2 Jude Road,	Howlong	
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Jenna McNabb		
Contact Number :	0268412180		
Contact Email :	jenna.mcnabb@planning.nsw.gov	v.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Bob Parr		
Contact Number :	0260338960		
Contact Email :	bob.parr@corowa.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey		
Contact Number :	0268412180		
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planning.nsw.go	v.au	
Land Release Data	1		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Employment Land
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
f No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	It is proposed to amend the existing Clause 7.11 if the Corowa LEP 2012 to remove the references to "Riverlea Feedmill Facility" in Clause 7.11(1)(a), (b) and 7.11(2), to enable the buffer area to be applied to multiple sites in the Shire, if required.		
	The purpose of the new clause industrial activity and land uses developed to show a 500m buffer 7.11 is to require consideration	within the buffer. A new Lo er around the facility at How	ocal Clauses Map will also be vlong. The intention of clause
	The subject site is currently vac - General Industrial. The site is a RU1 zoning brings a level of res facility.	adjacent to an existing Live	stock Processing Facility. The
	The nearest residences and/or land zoned for residential purposes is approximately 800m from the site. Adjacent land is zoned RU1 - Primary Production.		
	The subject site is currently the expansion of the existing feedlo		pment application for the
	The Corowa 2011-2031 Strategic to the Riverlea site and surroun Strategy.		
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmen	t		
tatement of the ob			
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	-	by using a site specific exis	e Road, Howlong to protect its sting clause within the Corowa

Local Environmental Plan 2012. The Planning Proposal intends to adapt the existing site

specific clause so that it applies to any industrial site for which a buffer may be

appropriate.

Is an explanation o	f provisions provided? Yes			
Comment :		The provisions to achieve the intended outcome of the Planning Proposal are as follows:		
		f the Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2012 to change an existing to a general reference that can be applied to multiple site in the proposed is as follows:		
	7.11 Development within	n buffer areas to industrial activities		
	 (a) to protect the operation (b) to control developm (2) This clause applies to (3) Before granting development (a) the likely adverse implication (a) the likely adverse implication (b) any proposed measure (c) the likely adverse implication (d) whether the developed development and the implication 2. Introduce a Local Clause 	is clause are as follows: tional environment of industrial activities ent near industrial activities to minimise land use conflict. to land identified as 'buffer area' on the Local Clauses Map elopment consent to development on land to which this clause thority must consider the following: apact that any noise or other emissions associated with existing the development; ures incorporated into the development that limit the impact of missions associated with the existing land use; apact of the development on the operations of the industrial activity; ament has been sited to maximise the distance between the adustrial activity and minimise any land use conflict.		
		troduce a Local Clauses Map into the CLEP (to be referenced as neet LCL_009) to show a 500m buffer around the perimter of Lots 93		
	Local Clauses Map - Sh and 94 in DP 753744 wit			
Justification - st	Local Clauses Map - Sh and 94 in DP 753744 wit Additional buffers will b required.	eet LCL_009) to show a 500m buffer around the perimter of Lots 93 thin which clause 7.11 applies.		
	Local Clauses Map - Sh and 94 in DP 753744 wit Additional buffers will b required.	neet LCL_009) to show a 500m buffer around the perimter of Lots 93 thin which clause 7.11 applies. The able to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be		
a) Has Council's st	Local Clauses Map - Sh and 94 in DP 753744 wit Additional buffers will b required. 55 (2)(C)	neet LCL_009) to show a 500m buffer around the perimter of Lots 93 thin which clause 7.11 applies. The able to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be		
a) Has Council's st b) S.117 directions	Local Clauses Map - Sh and 94 in DP 753744 with Additional buffers will b required. 55 (2)(C) rategy been agreed to by the Dire	eet LCL_009) to show a 500m buffer around the perimter of Lots 93 thin which clause 7.11 applies. The able to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be ector General? Yes		
a) Has Council's st b) S.117 directions * May need the Dir	Local Clauses Map - Sh and 94 in DP 753744 with Additional buffers will b required. 55 (2)(c) rategy been agreed to by the Direct identified by RPA :	 a be the to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be able to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be 		
a) Has Council's st b) S.117 directions * May need the Dir Is the Director G	Local Clauses Map - Sh and 94 in DP 753744 with Additional buffers will b required. 55 (2)(c) rategy been agreed to by the Dire identified by RPA : ector General's agreement	 a be the tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be able to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be able to be mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be tobe mapped via a planning proposal should they be b be t		

SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Major Projects) 2005 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) 2007 Murray REP No. 2 - Riverine Land e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Council has provided sufficient mapping to support the planning proposal. A new Local Comment : Clauses Map will be required to be developed. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : Council proposes to exhibit the proposed amendments for 28 days in accordance with the requirements of section 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure's: A Guide to preparing local environmental plans 9 October 2012). This consultation period is considered appropriate. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Strategic Land Use Plan 2011 - 2031. The If No, comment : mapping within the Strategic Land Use Plan indicates the intended buffers for land surrounding the Howlong Industrial Estate. The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2012 as it proposes to amend existing clause 7.11 to capture all industrial land within the Shire. The Planning Proposal is consistent with all applicable SEPPs. The Planning Proposal is consistent with all applicable s117 Directions.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : October 2012

 Comments in
 The Corowa Standard Instrument Local Environmental Plan was notified on 5 October 2012.

 relation to Principal
 LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The Planning Proposal is the most appropriate method to implement a buffer in place to protect adjoining land to industrial uses in the Corowa Shire. It is noted that the applicant owns the subject land that will be part of the buffer area in Jude Road.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The Planning Proposal is consistent with Corowa's Strategic Land Use Plan 2011 - 2031. The mapping within the Strategic Land Use Plan indicates the intended buffers for land surrounding the Howlong Industrial Estate (see figure 12).
Environmental social economic impacts :	It is more likely that the inclusion of a buffer zone will constrain development rather than facilitate it and therefore the Planning Proposal is likely to benefit the environment.
	There is no critical habitat within the area to which the buffer is proposed.
	The Planning Proposal is considered to have a positive economic benefit as it will provide

The Planning Proposal is considered to have a positive economic benefit as it will provide confidence for industrial activity, future developers and adjoining land owners.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make 9 months LEP :		Delegation :	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :			
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional studies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasons :			
Identify any internal consultations, if required :			
No internal consultation required			

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Letter received from Council dated 19 November	Proposal Covering Letter	No
2014.pdf		
Planning Proposal - Habitat Planning.pdf	Proposal	No
Council Mapping.pdf	Мар	No
Land Zoning Map LZN_009A - Corowa LEP 2012.pdf	Мар	No

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions S.117 directions: **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones** 2.3 Heritage Conservation 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements Additional Information : Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the 1. Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) as follows: a) The planning proposal is to be made publicly available for 28 days; and b) The relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in Section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013). 2. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). 3. Prior to the submission of the Planning Proposal under section 59 of the EP&A Act, mapping is to be prepared and be compliant with the Departments 'Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Maps' November 2012 Version 2.0. 4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination. Supporting Reasons : The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Strategic Land Use Plan 2011 - 2031. The mapping within the Strategic Land Use Plan indicates the intended buffers for land surrounding the Howlong Industrial Estate (see figure 12). The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2012 as it proposes to amend existing clause 7.11 to capture all industrial land within the Shire. The Planning Proposal is consistent with all applicable SEPPs. The Planning Proposal is consistent with all applicable s117 Directions. Signature: 9/12/14. Date: Printed Name:

ondesed

Page 6 of 6

g team leader